Federal Acquisition Regulation

- (1) Using a cost-plus-a-percentage-ofcost system of contracting;
- (2) Making any contract that violates existing law limiting profit or fees;
- (3) Providing for other than full and open competition for award of contracts for supplies or services; or
- (4) Waiving any bid bond, payment bond, performance bond, or other bond required by law.
- (b) No contract, amendment, or modification shall be made under Pub. L. 85–804's authority—
- (1) Unless the approving authority finds that the action will facilitate the national defense;
- (2) Unless other legal authority within the agency concerned is deemed to be lacking or inadequate:
- (3) Except within the limits of the amounts appropriated and the statutory contract authorization (however, indemnification agreements authorized by an agency head (50.104–3) are not limited to amounts appropriated or to contract authorization); and
- (4) That will obligate the Government for any amount over \$28.5 million, unless the Senate and House Committees on Armed Services are notified in writing of the proposed obligation and 60 days of continuous session of Congress have passed since the transmittal of such notification. However, this paragraph (b)(4) does not apply to indemnification agreements authorized under 50.104–3.
- (c) No contract shall be amended or modified unless the contractor submits a request before all obligations (including final payment) under the contract have been discharged. No amendment or modification shall increase the contract price to an amount higher than the lowest rejected bid of any responsible bidder, if the contract was negotiated under 10 U.S.C. 2304(a)(15) or 41 U.S.C. 252(c)(14), or FAR 14.404-1(f).
- (d) No informal commitment shall be formalized unless—
- (1) The contractor submits a written request for payment within 6 months after furnishing, or arranging to furnish, supplies or services in reliance upon the commitment; and
- (2) The approving authority finds that, at the time the commitment was made, it was impracticable to use normal contracting procedures.

- (e) The exercise of authority by officials below the secretarial level is subject to the following additional limitations:
- (1) The action shall not—
- (i) Release a contractor from performance of an obligation over \$55,000;
- (ii) Result in an increase in cost to the Government over \$55,000;
- (iii) Deal with, or directly affect, any matter that has been submitted to the Government Accountability Office; or
- (iv) Involve disposal of Government surplus property.
- (2) Mistakes shall not be corrected by an action obligating the Government for over \$1,000, unless the contracting officer receives notice of the mistake before final payment.
- (3) The correction of a contract because of a mistake in its making shall not increase the original contract price to an amount higher than the next lowest responsive offer of a responsible offeror.
- (f) No executive department or agency shall exercise the indemnification authority granted under paragraph 1A of E.O. 10789 with respect to any supply or service that has been, or could be, designated by the Secretary of Homeland Security as a qualified anti-terrorism technology unless—
- (1) For the Department of Defense, the Secretary of Defense has determined that the exercise of authority under E.O. 10789 is necessary for the timely and effective conduct of the United States military or intelligence activities, after consideration of the authority provided under the SAFETY Act (Subtitle G of title VIII of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, 6 U.S.C. 441–444); or
- (2) For other departments and agencies that have authority under E.O. 10789—
- (i) The Secretary of Homeland Security has advised whether the use of the authority under the SAFETY Act would be appropriate; and
- (ii) The Director of the Office of Management and Budget has approved the exercise of authority under the Executive order.

50.103 Contract adjustments.

This section prescribes standards and procedures for processing contractors'

50.103-1

requests for contract adjustment under Pub. L. 85–804 and E.O. 10789.

50.103-1 General.

The fact that losses occur under a contract is not sufficient basis for exercising the authority conferred by Pub. L. 85-804. Whether appropriate action will facilitate the national defense is a judgment to be made on the basis of all of the facts of the case. Although it is impossible to predict or enumerate all the types of cases in which action may be appropriate, examples are included in 50.103-2. Even if all of the factors in any of the examples are present, other considerations may warrant denying a contractor's request for contract adjustment. The examples are not intended to exclude other cases in which the approving authority determines that the circumstances warrant action.

50.103-2 Types of contract adjustment.

- (a) Amendments without consideration.
 (1) When an actual or threatened loss under a defense contract, however caused, will impair the productive ability of a contractor whose continued performance on any defense contract or whose continued operation as a source of supply is found to be essential to the national defense, the contract may be amended without consideration, but only to the extent necessary to avoid such impairment to the contractor's productive ability.
- (2) When a contractor suffers a loss (not merely a decrease in anticipated profits) under a defense contract because of Government action, the character of the action will generally determine whether any adjustment in the contract will be made, and its extent. When the Government directs its action primarily at the contractor and acts in its capacity as the other contracting party, the contract may be adjusted in the interest of fairness. Thus, when Government action, while not creating any liability on the Government's part, increases performance cost and results in a loss to the contractor, fairness may make some adjustment appropriate.
- (b) Correcting mistakes. (1) A contract may be amended or modified to correct or mitigate the effect of a mistake. The following are examples of mistakes

that may make such action appropriate:

- (i) A mistake or ambiguity consisting of the failure to express, or express clearly, in a written contract, the agreement as both parties understood it.
- (ii) A contractor's mistake so obvious that it was or should have been apparent to the contracting officer.
- (iii) A mutual mistake as to a material fact.
- (2) Amending contracts to correct mistakes with the least possible delay normally will facilitate the national defense by expediting the contracting program and assuring contractors that mistakes will be corrected expeditiously and fairly.
- (c) Formalizing informal commitments. Under certain circumstances, informal commitments may be formalized to permit payment to persons who have taken action without a formal contract; for example, when a person, responding to an agency official's written or oral instructions and relying in good faith upon the official's apparent authority to issue them, has furnished or arranged to furnish supplies or services to the agency, or to a defense contractor or subcontractor, without formal contractual coverage. Formalizing commitments under such circumstances normally will facilitate the national defense by assuring such persons that they will be treated fairly and paid expeditiously.

50.103-3 Contract adjustment.

- (a) Contractor requests. A contractor seeking a contract adjustment shall submit a request in duplicate to the contracting officer or an authorized representative. The request, normally a letter, shall state as a minimum—
 - (1) The precise adjustment requested;
- (2) The essential facts, summarized chronologically in narrative form;
- (3) The contractor's conclusions based on these facts, showing, in terms of the considerations set forth in 50.103-1 and 50.103-2, when the contractor considers itself entitled to the adjustment; and
 - (4) Whether or not—
- (i) All obligations under the contracts involved have been discharged;